California Statewide Gas Emerging → Technologies – GAHP Performance Mapping

Final Presentation – ET23SWG0015

Confidential





Project Collaborators



Steven Long, P.E. Director of Engineering (West)

Alfredo Gutierrez, P.E. Engineering Manager

GTI Energy

Madeline Talebi Energy Engineer

Jason LaFleur Senior Manager Alejandro Baez Guada Principal Engineer

Lee Van Dixhorn Senior Engineer Ari Katz Senior Engineer Alex Fridlyand, Ph.D., P.E. Senior Program Manager

Agenda

- Background GAHPs in California
- Objectives
- Equipment Commissioning/Test Plan
- Steady State Performance Experimental Data
- Load-Based (Transient) Performance Experimental Data
- EnergyPlus Modeling
- Recommendations



Gas Absorption Heat Pumps

Background/application of Gas Absorption Heat Pump (GAHP) utilization and California legislation.







California on Emissions Control

- Water heating is the largest end-use of natural gas in California
- Natural Gas Consumption by End Use in the Commercial sector



Objectives

- Improve low uptake at the sector level
 - Primarily as it relates to the commercial sector
- Improve low uptake at the technology level
- Technology performance in a controlled environment
 - Equipment commissioning
 - Steady state evaluation
 - Part Load (Transient) evaluation
- Develop performance mapping curves
- Contribute to EnergyPlus modeling data



Equipment Commissioning & Test Plan



Equipment Installation and Commissioning

• Robur GAHP-A system



Variable	Tolerance
Flow Rate [GPM]	±2.0%
Outside Air Temperature (OAT) [°F]	±1.0°F
Return Temperature (RT) [°F]	±1.0°F
Supply Temperature [°F]	±1.0°F
Firing Rate (Energy Input) [kBtu/h]	±2.0%
Heating Output [kBtu/h]	±2.0%



Target Conditions – Steady State

• Robur GAHP-A system



Variable	Testing Range
Flow Rate [GPM]	13.6 GPM & 7.0 GPM
Outside Air Temperature (OAT) [°F]	O°F–11O°F
Return Temperature (RT) [°F]	95°F–120°F
Propylene Glycol [vol%]	35 vol%

Number of Points within Testing Range 2 10 3 3

Target Conditions – Part Load (Transient)

• Robur GAHP-A system



Variable	Testing Range	Number of Points within Testing Range
Flow Rate [GPM]	13.6 GPM & 7.0 GPM	2
Outside Air Temperature (OAT) [°F]	0°F–110°F	10
Return Temperature (RT) [°F]	95°F–120°F	3
Propylene Glycol [vol%]	35 vol%	1
ON Runtime [hr.]	0.1-0.9 hr.	6
OFF Time [hr.]	0.2–1.0 hr.	3

Experimental Results – Steady State



Maximum OAT operating conditions				
Target Conditions				
Outside Air		Return		
Temperatur	Glycol Flow	Temperature		
e (OAT), °F	Rate, GPM	(RT), °F		
		95		
	13.6	110		
110 7.0	120			
		95		
	7.0	110		
		120	1	

- Timeseries ~ 6 hours
- Oscillations (short cycling) begin @ RT of 110°F
 - Supply temperature exceeds max @ ~140°F at low flowrate contributes to short cycling
 - Operate according to application





kBtu/h

Output,

Heating

and

Rate

Firing

Minimum OAT operating conditions				
Target Conditions				
Outside Air		Return		
Temperatur	Glycol Flow	Temperature		
e (OAT), °F	Rate, GPM	(RT), °F		
35 13.6 7.0		95		
	13.6	110		
	120			
		95		
	7.0	110		
		120		

- Timeseries ~ 6 hours
- Oscillations (short cycling) begin @ RT of 120°F
 - Supply temperature exceeds max @ ~140°F at low flowrate contributes to short cycling
 - Operate according to application





- Side by side comparison between OAT @ 110°F and OAT @ 35°F
 - Short cycling when flowrate is reduced to 7.0 GPM \rightarrow Supply Temp >140°F
 - Reduction in heat capacity at lower flowrates (7.0 GPM) relative to higher flowrates (13.6 GPM)

Firin



- Side by side comparison for COP (Gas-Only) & COP (Gas+Electric)
 - Electric energy has small impact
 - *Short cycling data excluded

- COP behavior is contingent on (ambient) site conditions and return temperatures
 - Optimal at high ambient and low return temperatures





- Firing rate decreases with increasing outdoor air temperature
 - Power consumption shows similar behavior
 - Linear curve behavior

Heating Output/Input Ratio @ 13.6 gpm

- MFR: 113 °F SWT | 18 °F dT | 35% PG
- MFR: 122 °F SWT | 18 °F dT | 35% PG
- Overlap with manufacturer's data and experimental data implies close alignment



Experimental Results – Load-Based (Transient)



Load-Based Performance Mapping

- Steady state experimental data = max capacity when calculating PLR
 - COP Ratio (derate) → efficiency relative to the load
- Data used to develop correction factors for part load (cycling) performance



Field Test Comparison (Preliminary)

- Lab Data [left] compared against preliminary field data [right]
 - COP steady state reached in ~20 minutes







Poly. (Minute Frequencies) Poly. (Average COP)

EnergyPlus Modeling

EnergyPlus Modeling Integration



- **Objective:** forecast... •
- (1) Energy Consumption
- (2) Utility Bills
- (3) Greenhouse Gas Emissions
- <u>Targeted audience</u>:

(1) California Policymakers (2) Program Designers (3) Software Developers

(4) Manufacturers



Energy **Plus**

- Modeling parameters developed and plotted with experimental data
 - Modeling parameters can be predicted within ±5%
- Key parameters (simplified below):
 - Heating Capacity = Rated Capacity x CAPFT

CAPFT = correction factor based on ambient and return temperature - Gas Use = [(Load/COP_{nom}) x EIRFT x EIRFPLR x EIRDEFROST]/CRF EIRFT = correction factor based on ambient and return temperature EIRFPLR = correction factor for cycling (part load) EIRDEFROST = correction factor for defrost CRF = correction factor for cycling operation

EnergyPlus Modeling Integration

Correction between measured (experimental) data and calculated correction factor





% Error

EnergyPlus Modeling Integration

 Overall modeling accuracy of COP (Gas Only) is approximately ±6%



Key Takeaways & Recommendations for Future Studies

Key Takeaways

- 1. Robur GAHP-A closely aligns with manufacturer's published data
- 2. Data suggests to proceed according to application when operating unit at low flowrate (7.0 GPM)
- 3. Normalized data suggests experimental data is sufficient for modeling integration (±6%)

Future Studies

- 1. National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) large scale modeling for **EnergyPlus**
- 2. Hydrogen blend testing and
 - experimental testing for

performance curve integration performance curve development 3. Additional "market-ready" GAHP **EnergyPlus modeling integration**



Get in touch with us: Ava Donald

Program Manager Ava.Donald@icf.com

in Follow the GET program on LinkedIn linkedin.com/cagastech

icf.com

in linkedin.com/company/icf-international/twitter.com/icf

https://www.facebook.com/ThisIsICF/

About ICF

ICF (NASDAQ:ICFI) is a global consulting and digital services company with over 7,000 full- and part-time employees, but we are not your typical consultants. At ICF, business analysts and policy specialists work together with digital strategists, data scientists and creatives. We combine unmatched industry expertise with cutting-edge engagement capabilities to help organizations solve their most complex challenges. Since 1969, public and private sector clients have worked with ICF to navigate change and shape the future.